ICCK

Toward Multi-Dimensional Definitions of Fuzzy Sets

Cengiz Kahraman [1,*]

[1] Deparment of Industrial Engineering, Istanbul Technical University, 34367 Macka, Besiktas, Istanbul, Turkey

Abstract

This inaugural editorial outlines the evolution of fuzzy set theory from one-dimensional to multi-dimensional frameworks. It highlights key extensions—such as intuitionistic, Pythagorean, and spherical fuzzy sets—and their growing role in modeling uncertainty with greater flexibility. The editorial sets the stage for the *ICCK Transactions on Advanced Fuzzy Systems*, a new journal dedicated to publishing high-quality research on fuzzy set theory and its advanced extensions.

Keywords: advanced fuzzy systems, fuzzy set extensions, multi-dimensional membership.

1 Introduction

Discrete multivalued logic was generalized into a continuous logic by Zadeh [1], who also introduced ordinary fuzzy sets (OFS)—the first formalization in fuzzy set theory—defined by an element and its degree of membership. In OFS, the non-membership (or non-belongingness) of an element is the complement of its membership degree to 1.

This complementary feature of ordinary fuzzy sets was criticized by various researchers. According to those researchers, the membership degree of an element should be also fuzzy and there should be no necessity for the complementary feature in OFS. Thus, by adding the fuzziness to membership degrees and/or by removing the complementary feature, OFS have been extended to several new extensions that define membership functions with more details. The extensions of OFS are historically presented in Figure 1.

Type-2 fuzzy sets (Type-2 FS) and interval-valued fuzzy sets were developed, which let membership functions also be fuzzy by Zadeh [13]. Atanassov [2] introduced intuitionistic fuzzy sets (IFS) including elements with their degrees of membership and non-membership whose sum can be at most equal to 1. The complementary degree of the membership and non-membership degrees making the sum equal to one is called the hesitancy or indeterminacy degree of the decision maker. Torra [9] introduced hesitant fuzzy sets (HFS) to deal with a set of potential membership values of an element in a fuzzy set. Atanassov et al. [12] introduced intuitionistic type-2 fuzzy sets (IFS2) in his book, which let squared sum of membership and non-membership degrees be at most equal to one. Later, Yager [11] called IFS2 as Pythagorean fuzzy sets (PFS). Yager [10] also introduced q-rung orthopair fuzzy sets (Q-ROFS) as a generalization of IFSs, which the sum of qth powers of membership and non-membership equals at most one. Smarandache [8] introduced neutrosophic sets (NS) whose degrees of truthiness, indeterminacy, and falsity for each element in the universe can be equal to at most one and thus

Figure 1. Extensions of ordinary fuzzy sets.

their sum can be at most 3. Coung [4] introduced picture fuzzy sets (PiFS) with three parameters which are called yes, no, and abstain and their complement to one as refusal degree. Kahraman et al. [7] introduced spherical fuzzy sets (SFS) which the squared sum of the same parameters as picture fuzzy sets can be at most equal to one. Later, some more recent extensions have been introduced to the literature such as circular intuitionistic fuzzy sets [2], decomposed fuzzy sets [15], continuous intuitionistic fuzzy sets [16], and proportional fuzzy sets [5, 6]. Figure 1 shows the history of ordinary fuzzy set extensions in the literature.

The goal of all of these expansions is to describe an element's membership to a fuzzy set with more parameters and information. Proportional fuzzy sets are related to determine the values of membership parameters in a more sensitive, stable and accurate way. Instead of direct assignment of membership degrees, the proportions between parameters are required from experts.

2 Multi-dimensional Fuzzy Sets

The above fuzzy sets extensions are represented by Figure 2 [3]. If they are two dimensional, a surface represents the extension whereas they are three dimensional, a volume represents the extension.


Figure 2. Geometrical representations of fuzzy set extensions.

Table 1 shows the extensions of OFS based on the number of parameters in their definitions. Additionally, the sum of the parameters and types of the sum operations are indicated in Table 1.

Among the fuzzy set extensions, the most popular extension is the intuitionistic fuzzy sets followed by picture and spherical fuzzy sets. Then, Pythagorean fuzzy sets and Fermatean fuzzy sets [14] follow. As the origin of the theory is based on the vagueness and impreciseness in human thoughts and perceptions, the most often used areas of fuzzy set extensions are still decision-making and fuzzy control.

Table 1. Types of extensions and constraints.

Type	One dimensional extensions (μ)		Two dimensional extensions (μ, ν)				Three dimensional extensions (μ, π, ν)			
	OFS	TYPE-2 FS	IFS	PFS	FFS	Q-ROFS	NS	PiFS	SFS	T-SFS
Sum	1	1	≤ 1	≤ 1	≤ 1	≤ 1	≤ 3	≤ 1	≤ 1	≤ 1
Type of sum	1st degree	1st degree	1st degree	Squared sum	Cubic sum	Q^{th} degree sum	1st degree	1st degree	Squared sum	T^{th} degree sum

3 Conclusion

It is very important that the journal in which it is published is as promising as the quality of the article. Your contributions to the field of fuzzy set theory will receive many citations in the *ICCK Transactions on Advanced Fuzzy Systems* journal and will add value to your work. The *ICCK Transactions on Advanced Fuzzy Systems* aims to publish high-quality research that advances the theory, methodology, and applications of fuzzy systems in various fields such as linguistic modeling, fuzzy control, fuzzy decision making, new developments and/ or innovative approaches in fuzzy set extensions. The journal will have important indexing and abstracting services soon. The journal is free of publication charges until otherwise announced. The journal is especially open to submissions on the fuzzy set extensions mentioned in Introduction section.

Data Availability Statement

Not applicable.

Funding

This work was supported without any funding.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declare no conflicts of interest.

Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate

Not applicable.

References

[1] Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. *Information and control, 8*(3), 338-353. [CrossRef]

[2] Atanassov, K. (1999). Intuitionistic fuzzy sets: theory and applications. Heidelberg, New York. *Physica-Verlag*.

[3] Bolturk, E., & Kahraman, C. (2021). Fuzzy sets and extensions: A literature review. *Toward Humanoid Robots: The Role of Fuzzy Sets: A Handbook on Theory and Applications*, 27-95. [CrossRef]

[4] Cuong, B. C. (2014). Picture fuzzy sets. *Journal of Computer Science and Cybernetics, 30*(4), 409-409. [CrossRef]

[5] Kahraman, C. (2024). Proportional picture fuzzy sets and their AHP extension: Application to waste disposal site selection. *Expert Systems with Applications, 238*, 122354. [CrossRef]

[6] Kahraman, C. (2024). Proportional fuzzy set extensions and imprecise proportions. *Informatica, 35*(2), 311-339.

[7] Kahraman, C., & Kutlu Gündoğdu, F. (2018, September 24–26). From 1D to 3D membership: Spherical fuzzy sets. Paper presented at *BOS/SOR 2018 – Polish Operational and Systems Research Society Conference*, Palais Staszic, Warsaw, Poland.

[8] Smarandache, F. (1998). Neutrosophy: neutrosophic probability, set, and logic: analytic synthesis & synthetic analysis.

[9] Torra, V. (2010). Hesitant fuzzy sets. *International journal of intelligent systems, 25*(6), 529-539. [CrossRef]

[10] Yager, R. R. (2016). Generalized orthopair fuzzy sets. *IEEE transactions on fuzzy systems, 25*(5), 1222-1230. [CrossRef]

[11] Yager, R. R. (2013, June). Pythagorean fuzzy subsets. In *2013 joint IFSA world congress and NAFIPS annual meeting* (*IFSA/NAFIPS*) (pp. 57-61). IEEE. [CrossRef]

[12] Atanassov, K. T., & Atanassov, K. T. (1999). *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets* (pp. 1-137). Physica-Verlag HD.

[13] Zadeh, L. A. (1975). The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning—I. *Information sciences, 8*(3), 199-249. [CrossRef]

[14] Senapati, T., & Yager, R. R. (2020). Fermatean fuzzy sets. *Journal of ambient intelligence and humanized computing, 11*, 663-674. [CrossRef]

[15] Cebi, S., Gündoğdu, F. K., & Kahraman, C. (2023). Consideration of reciprocal judgments through decomposed fuzzy analytical hierarchy process: a case study in the pharmaceutical industry. *Applied Soft Computing, 134*, 110000. [CrossRef]

[16] Alkan, N., & Kahraman, C. (2023). Continuous intuitionistic fuzzy sets (CINFUS) and their AHP&TOPSIS extension: Research proposals evaluation for grant funding. *Applied Soft Computing, 145*, 110579. [CrossRef]

Cengiz Kahraman graduated from Kuleli Military High School in 1983. He received his BSc degree in 1988, MSc degree in 1990, and PhD degree in 1996 from Industrial Engineering of Istanbul Technical University. Prof. Kahraman is now a full professor at Istanbul Technical University. His research areas are engineering economics, quality control and management, statistical decision making, multicriteria decision making, and fuzzy decision making. He published 350 journal papers and 240 conference papers. He became the guest editors of many international journals and the editor of many international books from Springer. He is the member of editorial boards of more than 20 international journals. He organized and chaired various conferences such as FLINS, RACR, FSSCMIE, and INFUS. He was the vice dean of ITU Management Faculty between 2004-2007 and the head of ITU Industrial Engineering Department between 2010-2013. (Email: kahramanc@itu.edu.tr)